	Case 3:10-cv-00160-RCJ-WGC Document 44 Filed 07/27/11 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10	BRIAN KAMEDULA,) Case No. 3:10-cv-00160-ECR-RAM
11	DI. : wife
12	Plaintiff, ORDER
13	VS.
14	ROBERT BANNISTER, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16)
17	Our previous order (#38) filed on July 12, 2008, is hereby vacated.
18	On June 14, 2011, the Magistrate Judge filed a Report and
19	Recommendation (#35) recommending that Defendants' Partial Motion to
20	Dismiss (#31) be granted.
21	Plaintiff has filed Objections (#36) to the Report and Recommendation
22	(#35). Defendants filed their Response to Plaintiff's Objections (#37) on July 5,
23	2011. We agree with the finding of the Magistrate Judge that Plaintiff's Fifth
24	Amendment claim should be dismissed for failure to state a claim because no
25	federal actors are alleged to have been involved. The State of Nevada and the
26	Nevada Department of Corrections must be dismissed from this case because
27	they are not "persons" for the purposes of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff's state law
28	claims for medical and dental malpractice should be dismissed for failure to

comply with Nevada Revised Statutes § 41A.071. Plaintiff's claim of deliberate
indifference is barred by the appropriate statute of limitations to the extent
Defendants were deliberately indifferent to Plaintiff's serious medical need in
violation of the Eighth Amendment as a result of the alleged failure to provide
him with a retainer or partial dentures until 2005. To the extent Plaintiff asserts a
claim for deliberate indifference related to pain, discomfort and injury he
continued to experience from January 21, 2008 until January 21, 2010, however,
the claim should be allowed to proceed. We interpret Plaintiff's pro se pleadings
to allege such a claim for pain, discomfort and injury between January 21, 2008
and January 21, 2010. Finally, Plaintiff's Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment
claims should be dismissed for failure to exhaust administrative remedies.
The Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is well taken
and is APPROVED and ADOPTED. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that
Defendants' Partial Motion to Dismiss (#31) is GRANTED as to all claims
excepting Plaintiff's claim for deliberate indifference related to pain, discomfort
and injury he continued to experience from January 21, 2008 until January 21,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall have twenty-one (21) days from the date hereof to file an amended answer if they wish to do so.

Dated this 27th day of July 2011.

EDWARD C. REED, JR. United States District Judge

2010.